



Mobile Management Forum Survey Results Summary




THE *Open* GROUP

About the Survey


- ❑ Sent to
 - All MMF members via the OGMMF Mailing list.
 - All MMF supporters
 - All delegates registered for the Managing the Mobile Workforce Plenary
- ❑ Only 5 responses by “soft” deadline (15th March)
- ❑ Many more responses received since 1st April.
- ❑ Incomplete responses lead to slight statistical anomalies.

Focus Areas by Priority

Number of "Yes" votes received.




Session Management



- ✓ 86% of respondents saw value in continuing this work.
- ✓ Need to agree next steps and set action plan in place.

Synchronisation




✓ 80% of respondents saw value in continuing this work.

✓ Open Synchronisation Framework document still incomplete. This is a next step.

✓ Need to formalise relationship with SyncML.

Health & Safety Issues




✓ 72% of respondents expressed an interest in this area.

✓ Is this an area within which MMF has a role?


✓ Which (if any) international organisations are already focussing on this topic with an explicit mobile perspective?

AAA and Directory



- ✓ 85% of respondents expressed an interest in this area.
- ✓ Joint work with DIF in rapid progress within the MaD Working Group.
- ✓ DMTF liaison discussions have commenced. MMF to inject Mobility requirements to their work.

Firewall and Security




✓ 73% of respondents expressed an interest in this area.

✓ Surprisingly only 9% felt it should be handled with another Forum.

✓ Should the MMF seek a working group liaison with Security Forum?

QoS




✓ 66% of respondents expressed an interest in this area.

✓ 17% felt it should be handled with another Forum.

✓ The MMF will seek to formalise working group liaison with QoS Forum?

Telematics



✓ 64% of respondents expressed an interest in this new area.

✓ Should the MMF work to formalise a definition of the problem associated with Telematics?