Project Open Source in the Enterprise

Report from Boston, July 2003



Attendees

- Michael Ostermann, Innenministerium Nordrein-Westfalen
- Ileana Reisch, Mitre
- Hideki Kawahara, Toyota
- Hirokazu Narita, Fujitsu
- Michi Minoh, Kyoto University
- Seji Otani, Tepco Systems
- **Todd Gamble, MCI**
- Larry M. Gunter, MSWC Dahlgren
- Dock Allen, Mitre
- Steve Nunn, The Open Group
- Walter Stahlecker, HP



Prioritized list of work items

| Priority | Торіс | |
|----------|--|--|
| ***** | Including Open Source in your SW landscape | |
| **** | How to improve Reliability & Dependability of Open Source | |
| *** | Evangelization in Enterprises | |
| ** | Guide to understand the impact of different Open Source licenses | |
| ** | How to include Open Source into Enterprise IT Architecture | |
| * | Best practices for releasing code under Open Source licensing | |
| * | How to Create/Manage/Run an Open Source Project | |
| * | How to Create/Manage/Run an Open Source Project | |
| * | How Enterprise IT People can Engage with Open Source Teams to make Effective Contributions | |
| | an equivalent to the Emily Post guidebook - on Open Source Etiquette | |

18 August 2003

(C) The Open Group 2002



Work items described (1)

| Торіс | Content | Description |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Including | Standards for interfaces with Open Source SW | Characteristics: |
| Open Source in your SW | How does OSS interface with other elements in the current environment (interoperability, formats, licenses, security levels, electronic signatures, support/fixing) | Checklist of questions Examples Pointers to guides |
| landscape | Meet required functionality | Form: |
| | Software development environment for Open Source SW How does Open Source fit into current governance processes (policy, architects, management, finance) Are there appropriate Open Source products? Are there consequential other changes to the landscape? Viability of the "vendor" (community), longevity of product, | Paper Online resource Training course Project team: Legally aware IT architects Budget owners for SW |
| | source and process of support Guidance on interoperability between different licensing domains Training engineers to understand and analyze open source Impact of Open Source on administration of operational environment | maintenance IT operations (dept. mgr. and staff) Development staff Security specialists Open Source developers |

Work items described (2)

| Торіс | Content | Description |
|--|--|---|
| How to improve Reliability & Dependability of Open Source | How to impact the community in order to effect change Installing behavioral patterns leading to better code Cost of such efforts, need to train in-house experts Benefit of access to the source code (as opposed to proprietary binaries) How to deal with the lack of SW assurance and documentation/training Need to factor this into the overall cost/benefit analysis Are Open Source testing levels above or below normal enterprise expectations for SW – can The Open Group help? Is there a registry of "certified" SW? What about version changes? Understanding maintenance process of community (many support models, paths of interaction) – compile a list of major SW projects Process to interact with communities for improving quality | Characteristics: Lifecycle descriptions Classification schemes Form: Guide Case studies Certifications, classifications? Project team members: Source selection teams Legally aware Testing Competitive aspects in SW Consultants QA, insurance Technical architecture Open Source developers |



Work items described (3)

| Торіс | Content | Description |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Evangelization in Enterprises | List of benefits and drawbacks for decision makers (e.g. MGOSS) | Characteristics: |
| | Workshop materials (content, whom to invite) | Form: |
| | National and local conferences or tutorials (train the train and) | Presentation |
| | trainers) Need to follow up with in-house tutorials Feedback to The Open Group's OSE project Successful and failed examples | Differentiated workshops per audience Channel to submit open questions |
| | Business case (ROI, etc.) | Project team: |
| | | Project managers |
| | | People with assignment on Open Source |
| | | SW geeks |
| | | Legally aware |
| | | Procurement\Open Source developers |



Work items described (4)

| understand the impact of different Open Source licensesImperative to have an Open Source policy in the enterprise What is a derivative product?FOwner Can I change the license on a derivative product?Imperative to have an Open Source policy in the enterprise Open Source PImperative to have an Open Source policy in the enterprise Open Source PImperative to have an Open Source policy in the enterprise Open Source P | Characteristics: |
|---|---|
| How to deal with mixed products where open source functionality may migrate into proprietary licensing (a) | Form: Minimal free Open Source policy example Guide Presentation Project team: Refer to existing papers (authors) Legal track in OSE project Ask previous presenters for |

7

Managers' Guide to Open Source SW

- Brief report (Dock Allen), followed by discussion
- A review draft is on the project web site www.opengroup.org > Forums > Work areas > Open Source in the Enterprise > log in > Recent review documents

http://www.opengroup.org/ose/protected/upreviews/10/263/Managers_Guide_to_OSS_Draft_2003-05-08_Why_OSS_Matters.doc

- The guide is impartial towards Open Source
- It provides factual information and recommends a list of questions to be considered
- Review is open to all, decisions will be taken by The Open Group's membership



Open Source methods to

increase SW quality and dependability

- Presentation by Dock Allen
 - New ways are needed to get dependable SW at a much reduced cost compared to today
- Discussion points
 - Diversity inherent in the Open Source process is a plus
 - Need to collect case studies about immature management leading to premature releases and losses, and others which went well; introduce Open Source examples
 - Peer reviews are a strong point of Open Source methods
 - Open Source allows prolonged use of mature SW because the source is available
 - Pick the right SW project manager
 - Proposal: Set up a discussion track within the OSE project "how to work open source dependability issues"



(C) The Open Group 2002

Next steps in the OSE project

- Complete ongoing work:
 - Review and publish Managers Guide to Open Source SW
 - Clarify rules of engagement
 - Open participation in discussions
 - Membership required for consensus formation
- □ Increase the rate of progress:
 - Publish the top 4 work items, including suggested types of participants
 - Ask OSE project members to find individuals to whom deliverables should be of interest
 - Establish sites for new work items
- Increase reach:
 - Set up regional conferences to raise awareness and attract contributors
 - Proposed venues: Hagen (Germany, Michael & Walter), Boston (Ileana & Dock), possibly Japan
 - Set up resource locator with sample agendas, presentations, etc. to help project members (and others) deal with Open Source in the Enterprise



18 August 2003

10