



Identity in the Fog Open Group SPC, London April '09



_experience the commitment [™]



One thing is true about a cloud.

One thing is the about a cloud.

There is less to it than meets the eye.

Unless you're in it

- and then it's fog





How we see it

- Cloud is:
 - Extended Enterprise
 - Web 2.0/User-centricity
 - Internet based IT services (Cloud Computing)
- Cloud is:
 - changing how business is conducted via technology
 - requires change in how technology supports business.
- Identity is a fundamental enabler (but also potential threat)
- Getting this right in the Cloud environment matters
 - for security and privacy
 - for usability and agility
- Established and emerging standards
 - How they work
 - Business meaning

Extended Enterprise



A value network



The Outside is Inside



Effect of Extended Enterprise on IAM

- most obvious consequence is the need for federation
 - cannot maintain user repositories for all individuals (employees of partner enterprises, customers) that may make legitimate use of an enterprise's services.
 - why would they want to manage all these individuals?
 - can delegate or outsource these activities to their partners just as with other services they provide
- SAML, WS-Federation

Web 2.0

- not so much concerned with specific technologies as with the use paradigm
- increasingly pervasive presence of the internet
 - eCommerce, eGovernment
 - social networking, user generated content etc
 - Collaboration! (->COA)
 - ubiquitous connectivity
 - personalization and profiles
- Leads to User-Centric Identity
 - Dick Hardt http://identity20.com/media/OSCON2005/



IdP vs Federation



IdP, Federation etc.- business meaning

- Federation
 - Really for B2B
 - Known (trusted) business partners
 - No use for individuals (consumers/prosumers)

IdP

- Oriented to individuals but available to enterprises
- Good for profiling
- Not the place for business differentiators
- Implicit trust risk
- etc.
 - Tend to consumer solutions

Ubiquitous connectivity



CG

- Purely mobile identity solution (i.e. a solution for mobile devices) not enough.
- Access and authentication services must not limit access
- have to provide a user experience which is similar and easy on every device.
- A mobilized identity is essential
 - accessible without limitations
 - capable of being combined with device specific security behavior.

Standards in place, summary

	Federation	OpenID	Infocard
Owner	Liberty Alliance OASIS	Open Source	Microsoft / Novell Via Higgins: Open Source
Main Supporter	IT Vendors and Telco provider	Recently: Yahoo, Google, France Telecom	Microsoft, Novell, partly Open Source driven by IBM
Maturity	Stable, proven	Stable	Stable, client dependent
User Experience	Transparent	Technical interaction necessary (URL), medium	Graphical Interaction, easy
Spreading	Wide, de facto standard	Low to medium	Low to Medium (Shipped with Windows Vista)
Security	Easy to strong	Medium	Medium to strong



What is Cloud Computing ?



Components of the Agile Enterprise



What will happen ?



What do you mean by 'Inside'?



What to monitor ? What may happen ?



16

Related Developments

- Claims-based Access Control
 - RBAC overloaded and not dynamic
 - CBAC dynamic and context based



 Trust frameworks and interoperability (WS-Trust, Liberty Alliance IAF)
CGI

Identity data is Business Data



Conclusions

- Increasingly difficult to maintain user identities and assign rights to identities within a single organization
 - little business logic in doing so.
- Identity as a concept far removed from the userid/password simplification.
- How we use identity and ensure privacy and regulatory compliance are business issues.
 - How we address this with our IT solutions can make or break our business objectives
- Move away from isolating "security" concerns into a "nonfunctional", infrastructure domain.
- Decouple identity solutions from other applications and locate them in their own business domain.
 - Even if we didn't want to, the Cloud will force us.

Elements of a Solution



Last word - Trust

- trust relationships between providers of identities and services will become even more significant.
- explicit trust agreements between all parties potentially collaboration in the cloud are unthinkable.

• Need:

- standards supporting dynamic trust relationships
- network of recognized, trusted providers of identities.
- Government is an example of such a provider active today.
 - Who has an explicit trust relationship with the Government?
 - We simply assume that, if the government says it's true and the credential (e.g. password) looks authentic, then it must be OK.
 - not unreasonable to see how this can be extended but it certainly argues for a limited number of identity providers and a limited set of well accepted standards to underpin that.