







Security Shifts in Thinking

- It's not just an Information Technology Problem
 - Single point of known responsibility to correct failures to...
 - Shared, sometimes unknown, responsibility

You can't live without it

- Security viewed as an overhead activity to...
- Security viewed as essential part of business continuity

Think risk

- Security as a narrow technical specialty accessible only to experts; protection of specific components to ...
- Survivability as a risk management







Risk Assessments

• OCTAVE

- Operationally Critical Threat, Asset and Vulnerability Evaluation
- Developed at the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) of Carnegie Mellon University
- SEI also
 - Manages CERT
 - Studies network survivability



Survivability

- Enterprise-wide perspective to sustain the business in the face of ongoing attacks, failures, unexpected events, or accidents
- Providing business continuity (e.g., services, albeit degraded), in the presence of attacks, failures, events, or accidents
- Focusing the highest level of protection on critical assets
- Complementing the current risk management approaches that are part of the organization's business practices



Why OCTAVE? -1

- Before OCTAVE, the SEI performed Information Security Evaluations (ISEs).
 - ISE is expert-led vulnerability evaluation consisting of
 - Interviews with information technology personnel and selected users
 - Review of selected components from computing infrastructure for technological weaknesses
 - Analysis of the information gathered by a team of experts



Why OCTAVE? -2

- Observations from the ISE deliveries
 - Organizations did not always take meaningful action after the evaluation
 - Technological focus
 - The expert model would not scale
 - Prioritizing results was frequently difficult
 - Wide variation in products and services
 - Often conducted without a site's direct participation
 - Precipitated by an event
 - Frequently inconsistent or undefined valuation criteria
 - Few or no follow-on activities



Conducting OCTAVE

An interdisciplinary team – composed of:

- Business or mission-related staff
- Information Technology staff





OCTAVE Process



Operationally Critical Threat, Asset and Vulnerability Evaluation



Process 1



<u>Activities</u>

- A1.1 Establish impact evaluation criteria
- A1.2 Identify organizational assets
- A1.3 Evaluate organizational security practices



Sample Risk Worksheet

	Reputation/Customer Confidence		
Impact Type	Low Impact	Medium Impact	High Impact
Reputation	Reputation is minimally effected; little or no effort or expense required to recover.	Reputation is damaged and some effort and expense is required to recover.	Reputation is irrevocably destroyed or damaged.
Customer Loss	Less than% reduction in customers due to loss of confidence.	to% reduction in customers due to loss of confidence.	More than% reduction in customers due to loss of confidence.
Other:			
Other:			



Strategic Practice Areas





Operational Practice Areas





Sample Survey

Security Strategy

The organization's strategies routinely incorporate security consideration.

Security strategies and policies take into consideration the organization's strategies and goals.

Security strategies, goals, and objectives are documented and are routinely reviewed, updated, and communicated to the organization.

Security Management

Management allocates sufficient funds and resources to information security activities.

Security roles and responsibilities are defined for all staff in the organization.

The organization's hiring and termination practices for staff take information security issues into account.



Sample Survey Results

Sec	urity	y Pr	act	ice .	Area	as									
		Str	ate	gic				Оре	eratio						
1. Sec Training	2. Sec Strategy	3. Sec Mgmt	4. Sec Policy & Reg	5. Coll Sec Mgmt	6. Cont Planning	7. Phys Acc Cntrl	8. Monitor Phys Sec	9. Sys & Net Mgmt	10. Monitor IT Sec	11. Authen & Auth	12. Vul Mgmt	13. Encryption	14. Sec Arch & Des	15. Incident Mgmt	
								Stat	f Re	spo	nses				
								Div	Mar	age	rs R	espo	onse	es	
								Sen	ior I	Man	agen	nent			



Process 2



Activities

- A2.1 Select critical assets
- A2.2 Identify security requirements for critical assets
- A2.3 Identify threats to critical assets



Critical Asset - Definition

Those assets that would have a large adverse impact on the organization if they were:

- Disclosed to unauthorized people
- Modified without authorization
- Lost or destroyed
- Access to them is interrupted



Human Actors -Network Access





Worksheet Format

Human Actors Using Network Access									
Asset	Access	Actor	Motive	Outcome					
				disclosure					
			accidental	modification					
				loss, destruction					
		inside		interruption					
				disclosure					
			deliberate	modification					
Asset	network			loss, destruction					
				interruption					
				disclosure					
			accidental	modification					
				loss, destruction					
		outside		interruption					
				disclosure					
			deliberate	modification					
				loss, destruction					
				interruption					



Impact Values Recorded in the Risk Profile





Adding Impact Values

Human A	Actors Usi	ng Netwo	ork Access								
Asset	Access	Actor	Motive	Outcome	lm	pac	t V	alue	es		
					Reputation	Financial	Productivity	Fines	Safety	Other	
				disclosure	Μ	Μ	L	Μ			
			accidental	modification	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ			
				loss, destruction	Μ	Μ	Н	Μ			
		inside		interruption	Μ	Μ	Н	Μ			
				disclosure	М	М	L	Μ			
			deliberate	modification	Μ	Н	Μ	Μ			
Asset	network			loss, destruction	Μ	М	Н	Μ			
				interruption	М	М	Н	Μ			
				disclosure	Η	Н	L	Μ			
			accidental	modification	Μ	М	Μ	Μ			
				loss, destruction	М	М	Н	Μ			
		outside		interruption	Μ	М	Н	Μ			
				disclosure	Η	Н	L	Μ			
			deliberate	modification	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ			
				loss, destruction	Μ	Μ	Н	Μ			
				interruption	Μ	Μ	Н	Μ			



Process 3



<u>Activities</u>

- A3.1 Establish vulnerability evaluation strategy
- A3.2 Identify key classes of components
- A3.3 Select infrastructure components to evaluate



Key Classes of Components -2





Process 4



<u>Activities</u>

- A4.1 Run vulnerability evaluation tools
- A4.2 Analyze technology vulnerabilities



Process 5

Knowledge of key staff Evaluation criteria Critical assets Risk indicators Threat profiles Security requirements Technology vulnerabilities Recommendations

P5: Identify and Analyze Risks

Probability evaluation criteria Risk profiles for critical assets

Activities

- A5.1 Evaluate impacts of threats
- A5.2 Establish probability evaluation criteria
- A5.3 Evaluate probabilities of threats



Expression of Risk -2





Probabilities in Worksheet

Human Actors Using Network Access														
						_	_							
Asset	Access	Actor	Motive	Outcome	lm	рас	t Va	alue	es		Proba	bilit	у	
											Value Cor		nfide	ence
					Reputation	Financial	Productivity	Fines	Safety	Other		Very Much	Somewhat	Not at All
				disclosure	Η	Н	М	М		L	М		Х	
			accidental	modification									Х	
				loss, destruction									Х	
		inside		interruption									Х	
				disclosure	Η	Η	М	М		L	L		Х	
			deliberate	modification									Х	
Asset	network			loss, destruction									Х	
				interruption									Х	
				disclosure	Η	Η	М	М		L	L			Х
			accidental	modification										Х
				loss, destruction										Х
		outside		interruption										Х
				disclosure	Η	Η	Μ	М		L	L		Х	
			deliberate	modification									Х	
				loss, destruction									Х	
				interruption									Х	



Process 6

Knowledge of key staff Evaluation criteria Mi Critical assets Risk indicators Security requirements Technology vulnerabilities Recommendations Risk profiles for critical assets

P6: Develop Protection Strategy and Mitigation Plans

Protection strategy Risk mitigation plans Next steps

Activities

A6.2

- A6.1 Describe current protection strategy
 - Select mitigation approaches
- A6.3 Develop risk mitigation plans
- A6.4 Identify changes to protection strategy
- A6.5 Identify next steps



Worksheet with Practice Areas

Human Ac	tors Using	g Networl	k Access																														
Step 11					St	epi	25				Step	27				Ste	p 2	9													Ste	р 3	0
Asset	Access	Actor	Motive	Outcome	lm	рас	t V	alue	es		Proba	bilit	y			Sec	curit	уP	ract	tice	Are	as									Ap	proa	۱ch
											Value	Cor	nfide	ence	;			St	rate	gic				Ope	erati	onal							
					Reputation	Financial	Productivity	Fines	Safety	Other		Very Much	Somewhat	Not at All		1. Sec Training	2. Sec Strategy	3. Sec Mgmt	4. Sec Policy & Reg	5. Coll Sec Mgmt	6. Cont Planning	7. Phys Acc Cntrl	8. Monitor Phys Sec	9. Sys & Net Mgmt	10. Monitor IT Sec	11. Authen & Auth	12. Vul Mgmt	13. Encryption	14. Sec Arch & Des	15. Incident Mgmt	Accept	Defer	Mitigate
				disclosure	Μ	М	L	М			М		Х																				Х
			accidental	modification	Μ	М	Μ	М			L		Х																			Х	
				loss, destruction	Μ	М	Н	М			L		Х																			Х	
		inside		interruption	Μ	М	Н	М			L		Х																			Х	Х
				disclosure	Μ	М	L	М			L		Х																				Х
			deliberate	modification	Μ	Н	М	М			L		Х																				Х
Asset	physical			loss, destruction	Μ	М	Н	М			М		Х																				Х
				interruption	Μ	М	Н	М			L		Х																				Х
				disclosure	Н	Н	L	М			L			Х																			Х
			accidental	modification	Μ	М	М	М			L			Х																	Х		
				loss, destruction	Μ	М	Н	М			L			Х																			Х
		outside		interruption	Μ	М	Н	М			L			Х																			Х
				disclosure	Н	Н	L	М			L		Х																				Х
			deliberate	modification	М	М	М	М			L		Х																		Х	\square	
				loss, destruction	Μ	М	Н	М			L		Х																			\square	Х
				interruption	М	М	Н	М			L		X																				Х



Mitigating Risks

For risks that you intend to mitigate, you must determine which security practice areas need to be addressed.



Note: The security practice areas for which mitigation activities will be implemented are circled.



Example: Mitigation Plan

Mitigation Activity	Rationale								
Which mitigation activities are you going to implement in this security practice area?	Why did you select each activity?								
 Document business continuity or emergency operation plans, disaster recovery plan(s), and contingency plan(s) for responding to emergencies. (<i>Documented Plans</i>) 	 <i>Recognize</i> threats as they occur <i>Resist</i> threats to present them from occurring <i>Recover</i> from threats after they occur <i>Additional Notes</i> The organization currently has no business continuity plan, emergency operation plan, or disaster recovery plan 								
Mitigation Responsibility	Additional Support								
Who needs to be involved in implementing each activity? Why?	What additional support will be needed when implementing each activity (e.g., funding, commitment of staff, sponsorship)?								
The analysis team needs to present this plan to the senior management team. Senior managers need to assign responsibility for developing all required contingency plans.	Senior management needs to endorse this activity, assign staff to complete it, and provide any necessary funds to support it.								



Outputs of OCTAVE



•Enables you to effectively communicate critical information security issues

•Provides a foundation for future security improvements

 Positions your organization for compliance with data security requirements or regulations



Business Value

- Reduces risk/exposure
- Regulatory compliance
- Alignment of IT strategy with the organization's mission and objectives
- Provides a baseline for security best practices
- IT expenditure justification for organization's capital budgeting decisions
- Due diligence
- Protection of corporate reputation
- Builds customer confidence



OCTAVE Advantages

• Systematic and non-proprietary risk assessment methodology (no vendor lock-in)

 Superior pedigree and project sponsor (developed by Carnegie Mellon University/SEI)

Leverages academic research and industry best practices

 Tailor-able to the individual organization's strategic mission and objectives (others are much more rigid)

Results in specific deliverables and action items

 Periodic updates may be performed by an organization's internal teams using gap analysis techniques



Conclusion

- A technology risk assessment that's both wellrespected and thorough
- The robustness of tools, workshops, and publications to OCTAVE significantly enhances an effective assessment
- Asset-centric vs. perimeter-centric approach-focuses on the targets, not the attackers
 - More manageable
 - More organizationally relevant
 - Addresses the issues involving the evolution of modern IT systems
- Ensures business continuity and survivability



References

OCTAVE Materials

www.cert.org/octave

<u>Managing Information Security Risks, the</u> <u>OCTAVE Approach</u> Alberts and Dorofee. Published by Addison Wesley

Certified OCTAVE Facilitators/Trainers Impruve www.impruve.com 650 341-9133