

# Security Forum in San Diego

---

## Agenda February 2-6, 2004

THE *Open* GROUP

**Ian D Dobson**  
**Director – Security Forum**

Office: +44 (0)118 902 3041  
Mobile +44 (0)7764 905748

[i.dobson@opengroup.org](mailto:i.dobson@opengroup.org)

[www.opengroup.org](http://www.opengroup.org)

THE *Open* GROUP

# Outline agenda (1)

---

- **Monday Feb 2:**
  - **Boundaryless Information Flow: Open Standards & Certification**
- **Tuesday Feb 3:**
  - **AM-1: Members Meeting**
  - **AM-2: SecF Introductions & Review**
  - **PM-1: Identity Management (joint with DIF)**
  - **PM-2: Managers Guides – projects devt.**
- **Offsite event (18.30-21.30)**

# Outline agenda (2)

---

## □ Wednesday Feb 4

- All day - PKI in Govt & DoD (joint with MsgF)
- PM – SecF members choosing to work on VMI

## □ Thursday Feb 5:

- All day - Vulnerability Management Initiative day

## □ Friday Feb 6:

- AM-1: Technical Guides – projects devt.
- AM-2: Security Architectures

# Review of progress since q403

---

## □ Enterprise Vulnerability Management initiative

- 1. ALL:  
Review and return comments on NIST's SP800-53
- 2. Mike/Ian:  
Provide to the American Security Consortium a consolidated report of the Security Forum's feedback on its review of the ASC RPI document.
- 3. Mike/Ian:  
Develop a proposal for how the Security Forum will engage with NIST, ASC and EOIF to establish beneficial working relationships with these organizations, to advance the objectives of our EVM initiative.

# Progress review (2)

---

## □ **Secure Mobile Architecture (SMA)**

### ■ 4. ALL:

Engage in the Company Review (27 Oct - 24 Nov) of the Secure Mobile Architecture document, reviewing the SMA document and returning feedback on its information security content.

### ■ 5. ALL:

Participate in a 1-hour Company Review topic teleconference with the SMA authors on Friday 14 Nov starting at 07.00 US Pacific time.

# Progress review (3)

---

## □ Security Design Patterns

- 6. Bob Blakley/Craig Heath:  
Continue to resolve the Company Review Change Requests on the technical guide to Security Design Patterns
- 7. Bob Blakley:  
Plan a series of Writers Workshops once the technical guide to Security Design Patterns is published, to gather feedback on the effectiveness of the pattern definitions. Assemble this feedback as updates to a version 2 of the Security Design Patterns document.

# Progress review (4)

---

## □ Identity Theft

### ■ 8. ALL:

Join in the Information Gathering phase 1 of this project, to identify a set of documented cases of identity theft and investigate these cases in detail, to identify how an identity is stolen, how a stolen identity is used, how identity theft is detected, and how the victim of identity theft demonstrates that identity theft has occurred. Complete this phase 1 by the time we go into the next meeting (San Diego, 2-6 February 2004).

# Progress review (5)

---

## □ Identity & Authentication

### ■ 9. Eliot Solomon

Produce a new draft of the Managers Guide to Identity & Authentication, taking into account the inputs in email and discussion in the Washington meeting, and advise it's availability for review.

## □ Trust Models:

### ■ 10. Ian:

Supply the feedback comments produced during the Washington meeting review of Steve Whitlock's 25 Sept draft of his PKI Trust Models document.

### ■ 11. ALL:

Identify and contribute to creating further Trust Model examples to populate the Trust Models document.



# Progress review (6)

---

## □ PKI Certificates

### ■ 12. Mike/Ian:

Discuss with Richard Lee (Black Forest Group) opportunities to evaluate the BFG's proposals to extend the standard content of PKI certificates, possibly by inviting their Roger Schell to give a presentation in our next (San Diego) meeting.

## □ ALPINE documents

### ■ 13. Ian:

Maintain visibility to the Security Forum of the European Union's ALPINE project deliverables, and encourage members' review and feedback.

# Progress review (7)

---

## □ Identity Management

- 14. Bob Blakley:  
Supply draft text to Skip Slone for the IdM White Paper to describe how permissions are derived from attributes of identity but are not attributes themselves.
- 15. Eliot Solomon:  
Find material for scenarios for self-management of one's own identity, and supply these to Skip Slone for inclusion in the IdM White paper.
- 16. Ian:  
When the IdM White Paper is complete from the content viewpoint, arrange technical author review by The Open Group to ensure consistent style and presentation, prior to publication.

# Progress review (8)

---

## □ Security Architectures

### ■ 17. ALL:

Continue activity to use the 6 architecture models presented by Eliot Solomon in the Boston (July 2003) meeting as objects for describing the security-view of the architecture. Use the questionnaire as an aid to bring out the security view for each model.

## □ Secure Messaging

### ■ 18. All:

Maintain awareness of the Messaging Forum activities on Secure Messaging, and continue to contribute expert security guidance to them.

# Review of current projects

---

- ❑ Security Design Patterns - technical guide - leader Bob Blakley
- ❑ Security Architectures for Boundaryless Information Flow - leader Eliot Solomon
- ❑ Identity Management - White Paper: joint project with DIF - leader Skip Slone
- ❑ Identity Theft - technical guide - leader Bob Blakley
- ❑ Trust Models - technical guide - leader Steve Whitlock
- ❑ Access Control - White Paper - leader Ian Dobson
- ❑ Managers Guide to Identity & Authentication - leader Eliot Solomon

# Current projects (2)

---

- ❑ Security in Data (perimeter security outside the desktop) - technical guide - leader Bob Blakley
- ❑ Guide to Digital Rights Management - to be developed from our DRM White Paper published in October 2002 and available from [www.opengroup.org/projects/sec-guides/](http://www.opengroup.org/projects/sec-guides/) - leader Craig Heath.
- ❑ ALPINE project - leader Ian Dobson
- ❑ Vulnerability Management - leader Mike Jerbic
- ❑ Real Time Security: protection profiles - joint interest with the Real Time & Embedded Systems (RTES) Security group. RTES Security Group will produce these - Security Forum will review & comment.