



Architecting the **Enterprise**



Architecting-the-Enterprise

Enterprise Architecture LONDON Challenge

■ Judith Jones
■ Architecting-the-Enterprise

■ Sponsors

- Houses of Parliament Client Sponsor
- Architecting-the-Enterprise
- The Open Group

■ Participants

- Telelogic
- Salamander

■ The Challenge

- Divisions in the Commons (Voting)
- An Enterprise Architecture
 - Business
 - Data
 - Applications
 - Technology
- Solutions Architecture Recommendations
- Outline Plan
- Reports , Models, Demonstrations

■ **Timetable**

- **November 30th**
 - 8.30am Briefing
 - 4pm Close
 - 5.30pm demonstrations to delegates
 - 6pm Delegate Voting starts

- **December 1st**
 - 9.30am Presentation to Panel
 - 2pm Delegate Voting Closes

- **December 1st**
 - 4.00pm Results announced
 - Awards

■ Assistance

- John Ochiltree
- Judith Jones

■ Criteria

- **Method & Approach**
- **Innovation and Ideas**
- **Quality**

■ Method & Approach

■ Telelogic

- Audience - PICT
- Scoping focused on specific problem
- TOGAF development approach plus MODAF
- 3 phase approach
- UML and BPMN
- IDEF, MODAF roadmap

■ Salamander

- Audience - Members and Clerks
- Scoping business case approach
- Used MODAF 3 phase approach
- IDEF, IBM capability model
- Business modeling approach

■ Method & Approach

■ Judges Summary

- Both methods and approaches valid – ideal is a combination of both
- Methods did allow them to make a recommendation in the timescale
- Amazing what could be done in 7 hours

■ Innovation and Ideas

■ Telelogic

- Concentrated on brief of voting away from chamber
- Improve in estate, then go outside
- Network, blackberries, pda, mobiles
- Utilise existing infrastructure

■ Salamander

- Concentrated on brief of voting in chamber
- Text, sms, touch screen, chip and pin
- Utilise existing infrastructure

■ Innovation and Ideas

■ Summary

- New ideas - touch screens, Programmed Pda
- Minimise disruption – technology oppotunity
- Need to consider security architecture
- visible voting to a private ballot option value to Party whips not carried forward
- Touchscreen can make the clarity and status of the motion clearer...eg which amendment, main vote adds value

■ Quality

■ Telelogic

- Amazing given the time allocated
- TOGAF Certified tool (SA)

■ Salamander

■ Salamander

- Both presentations well received
- Not a certified tool(Mood) ...yet

- Depth able to show adherence to standards was difficult in the presentation
- Both did use standards in development and this was identified in questioning

■ Quality

■ Telelogic

- TOGAF Certified tool (SA)
- Excellent presentation

■ Salamander

- Not a certified tool (Mood) ...yet....
- Excellent presentation

■ Quality

■ Summary


- **Amazing given the time allocated**
- **Both presentations well received**
- **Depth able to show adherence to standards was difficult in the presentation**
- **Both did use standards in development and this was identified in questioning**

■ Judges Summary

- The judges appreciated the effort invested by the two tool vendors.
- Participation by conference delegates in evaluating the demonstrations was greatly appreciate and added to the value of the network reception and delegate participation

■ Closing Summary

- Highlights.....
- Thank you everybody for a great conference



Architecting-the-Enterprise

Enterprise Architecture LONDON Challenge

■ Judith Jones
■ Architecting-the-Enterprise